

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Does it include the cost of the Travelling Expenses of the dignatories who went there to perform the foundation?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—No, Sir.

Major and Minor Tanks in Malur Taluk (Restoration).

Q.—10. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of tanks—major and minor—in Malur Taluk;

(b) how many of them are breached and for how long;

(c) for how many of them have estimates been prepared.

(d) whether they are following any definite policy in the matter of restoration of major and minor tanks in the State;

(e) whether any scheme has been prepared for the restoration of these minor and major tanks and how far the same has been executed?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) Major ... 40 tanks.
Minor ... 332 tanks.

(b) 27. Information about the period in each case is not readily available.

(c) For 9 works.

(d) Yes.

(e) Yes. There is a programme to restore 24 major and 150 minor tanks in 5 years in Kolar District.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—In regard to the tanks that are breached, you have mentioned that for 9 works estimates have been prepared. What about the other works, Sir?

***Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.**—They have been breached a long time ago. It takes some time to restore them. Restoration will be done according to priority and the willingness of the raiyats to pay contribution and the availability of the funds.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Are not the Atchkatdars of other tanks which have been breached, urging the Government to prepare the estimate and execute them very early?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—In some cases they are urging for the restoration of those tanks. But the Department feels that they are unremunerative schemes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is answer (e) which is in your favour.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—With regard to the estimates that have been prepared, when will they be executed?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—They will be executed as soon as the estimates are sanctioned. I cannot give you the details.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—With regard to answer (d), you have said that you are following a definite policy in the matter of restoration of major and minor tanks. May I know what is the policy that is exactly followed?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The policy is to restore as many tanks as possible depending upon the availability of the funds and the urgency of the works.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Have you surveyed the number of tanks that have to be repaired and restored and have you set apart any money for the restoration of these major tanks?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—That is what exactly is being done.

Surveyors (Promotion as Assistant Engineers).

Q.—72. Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (T.-Narsipur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether there was a Government Order in the year 1927 laying down rules for the appointment of Surveyors from among the Engineering Graduates; if so, whether they will furnish a copy of the Government Order;

(b) whether there was a Government Order in the year 1944 laying down rules for the recruitment of

(SRI S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.)

Surveyors and their promotions to the cadre of Assistant Engineers; if so, whether they will furnish a copy of the Government Order;

(c) from the year 1944, how many times have the Government passed orders filling up the vacancies in the cadre of Assistant Engineer till 1st December 1953; (the total number of vacancies filled in at each time and the number promoted from the seniority list and by direct recruitment may kindly be shown separately).

(d) the number of Engineering Graduates who were appointed as Surveyors in the period 1937-1941 and how many of these continue even to-date as Surveyors (now designated as Supervisors); their names and date of entry into service;

(e) the number of Engineering Graduates who were appointed as Surveyors in the period 1942-1953 and how many of these have been made Assistant Engineers (i) by direct recruitment, (ii) by promotion according to seniority, (iii) by supersession (the Surveyors are now designated as Supervisors);

(f) the number of vacancies that may occur in the cadre of Assistant Engineers every year from 1954 for a period of 10 years?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) Yes. A copy appended below.

(b) Yes. A copy is placed on the table of the House. [Copy placed in the Library, *Vide* No. 10—L.A. of 1944].

(c) Six times:

Date	Number recruited directly.	Number promoted.
May 1945	...	27
December 1945	23	...
January 1947	...	14
September 1947	1	...
December 1949	...	63
November 1953	35	...

(d) Number of Engineering graduates appointed as Surveyors from 1st

January 1937 to 31st December 1941 is 116.

Number of these who continue as Surveyors—38. List appended below.

(e) Number of Surveyors appointed—377.

Number out of these who have now become Assistant Engineers by :—

(i) Direct recruitment	... 41
(ii) Promotion	... 29

The promotions were based on seniority and merit and, in regard to last batch, also with a view to securing representation of the classes which were not represented or inadequately represented in the Gazetted ranks of the department.

(f) Year	Probable number of vacancies according to the present sanctioned strength
1954	... 7
1955	... 5
1956	... 8
1957	... 7
1958	... 17
1959	... 12
1960	... 4
1961	... 19
1962	... 8
1963	... 13

Extract of G. O. No. 1181-4—S., dated 15th November 1927, from the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Bangalore, addressed to the Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

Surveyors.—Conveys the sanction of Government to Graduates in Engineering being entertained as—on Rs. 80 in lieu of Sub-Overseers on Rs. 35 5/2-80 against establishment sanctioned for various works undertaken in the Public Works Department proper and Krishnarajasagara Works, as there is dearth of qualified Sub-Overseers at present.

To the Comptroller through the Financial Secretary, the Chief Engineer, Krishnarajasagara Works and the Superintending Engineers of Shimoga and Mysore Circles.

Names of Engineering Graduates who were appointed as Surveyors from 1st January 1937 to 31st December 1941 who still continue to be Surveyors.

Sl. No.	Name	Date of appointment	...
	Sriyuths—		
1	B. R. Srinivasa Iyengar	1—12—37	Supervisor
2	S. Chellappa Iyengar	6—12—37	Do
3	K. Narayana Murthy	6—12—37	Do
4	M. Narayana Murthy	7—12—37	Do
5	B. K. Satyanarayana	7—12—37	Do
6	M. A. Thirumalachar	8—12—37	Do
7	B. N. Gundappa	11—12—37	Do
8	G. N. Ramakrishnaiya	13—12—37	Do
9	H. Srinivasa Iyengar	9—4—38	Do
10	G. Srinivasa Murthy	12—4—38	Do
11	R. Srinivasa Rao	14—4—38	Do
12	C. S. Bheemasenachar	16—4—38	Do
13	C. Gopala Rao	18—4—38	Do
14	K. P. Seetharamiah	18—4—38	Do
15	G. K. Seshamurthy	21—4—38	Do
16	M. R. Srinivasa Iyengar	22—4—38	Do
17	S. N. Krishnaswamy	28—4—38	Do
18	B. S. Doreswamy Iyengar	13—5—38	Do
19	H. Ramaswamy	30—6—38	Do
20	S. V. Sundram Iyer	3—1—39	Do
21	C. Venkoba Rao	15—1—39	Do
22	R. Srinivasa Rao	22—1—39	Do
23	S. G. Joshi	3—4—39	Do
24	S. Subramanyam	3—4—39	Do
25	B. V. Rama Sastry	8—4—39	Do
26	T. Gundu Rao	10—4—39	Do
27	G. V. Srinivasiah	10—4—39	Do
28	H. V. Ramaswamy Iyengar	10—4—39	Do
29	B. Ananda Rao	12—4—39	Do
30	K. R. Seetharamiah	30—1—41	Do
31	N. Ananth	5—2—41	Do
32	R. V. Krishna Rao	7—2—41	Do
33	C. Narasimha Iyengar	8—2—41	Do
34	H. G. Srinivasa Murthy	14—2—41	Do
35	R. S. Venkatesh	9—5—41	Do
36	B. V. Ramachandran	12—5—41	Do
37	S. Srinivasan	13—5—41	Do
38	S. Sampath	3—12—41	Do

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—May I know the reason for not having furnished a copy in the annexure in answer to question (b) Sir?

***Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.**—It is placed on the table of the House.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Why was it not printed in the Annexure?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It is a long one.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In fact it was read out during the last Session.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Sir, while promoting 63 Supervisors to the cadre of Assistant Engineers, in 1949, may I know, Sir, how many of them were promoted in accordance with purely seniority?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I would like to refer the Hon'ble Member to answer furnished to question (c).

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—No, Sir, my question is, in answer to (c) Government have been pleased to state that in December 1949, 63 persons have been promoted to the cadre of Assistant Engineers. I want to know the number amongst these who are taken out purely by seniority?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Roughly half, I suppose.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—That means the other half are to be treated as direct recruitment, is it not, Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I may say it is within my knowledge. It was in the proportion of 1:1 and according to seniority in each class.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Excuse me, Sir, I did not understand you. Out of 63 promoted to the cadre of Assistant Engineers, Government are pleased to say that about half were by seniority. The other half must be from other communities. My subsequent question was, when promotion was made in accordance with the communities for the other half, did it not amount to direct recruitment because it is not made on seniority?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Even in the case of promotions from communities belonging to backward classes, seniority has been followed.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—That is a different thing. Sir, Government have been pleased to say that amongst the surveyors appointed in the period 1937-41, 38 people are still remaining as surveyors, while Government further furnished answer to the effect that in the 1942-53 group, 29 have been promoted as Assistant Engineers. I want to know whether injustice has not been caused to these seniors 38 remaining out of the 37-41 group, when 25 were promoted in the 42-53 group?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I do not follow the Hon'ble Member. I do not know whether he refers to direct recruitment or promotion.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—By promotion, Sir. I would like to draw the attention of the Government to answer (e).

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—In the case of promotions made in 1949, as I have already stated, it was made on the basis of seniority taking into consideration the need for giving representation to backward classes and even among the backward classes, seniority has been followed.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—I believe I have not made my question clear. The Government have been pleased to answer in answer to (d) that 38 surveyors out of the 37-41 group are still remaining as surveyors; while in answer to (e) the Government have stated that in the 42-53 group 29 have been promoted as Assistant Engineers. I want to know whether it does not result in the claim of the seniors being ignored and the juniors being promoted as Assistant Engineers so far as promotion is concerned?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—That was done perhaps with a view to give representation to backward classes in the year 1949 and the proportion of 1:1 was followed and therefore these persons in the previous group could not be promoted.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Is it not a fact that the Government Order of 1944 has a clause providing for direct recruitment for purposes of giving representation to the backward communities?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The Government Order is clear on the point.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Even though the Government Order is clear and when the Government stated in the year 1949 that the Government Order of 1944 shall be followed hereafter, why did not the Government follow the Government order of 1944?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—That was the policy from the beginning. I may say in this connection that with a view to help some of these persons, who are Supervisors for a long time, the Government have recently ordered that ten out of the senior persons should be promoted on the basis of seniority and merit.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Thank you very much for the statement, Sir. Out of this 38 remaining as

surveyors from the 37-41 group, is it not a fact that most of them have got a service of more than 10 to 12 years?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I cannot readily give that information.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—In answer to (f) as regards vacancies that may arise in the cadre of Asst. Engineers and in the light of the Government order appointing probationers, is it not a fact that for another 10 years to come, none of these seniormost men have ever any chance of getting into the Asst. Engineer's cadre?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—There is some mistake. I wanted to clarify in the beginning itself. Of the probationers recently appointed, more than about 15 have already been appointed as Assistant Engineers and put in regular charge of sub-divisions; and it is likely that even the other 20 of them will be posted as Assistant Engineers and there will be still about 7 to 10 vacancies to be filled.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Are the Government aware that amongst the Assistant Engineers now in service, there are very many who are still temporary?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—That I do not know.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Sir, in case vacancies arise in the permanent cadre, will not these temporary men get those places? Or will the probationers be posted?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I think the persons who have been appointed as Asst. Engineers as such and not the Acting Asst. Engineers. Their claims will not be superseded. Some Supervisors are temporarily in charge of sub-divisions and they will not be continued as Asst. Engineers.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Sir, will the Government at least in the light of the long service of these 38 people remaining out of the 1937-41 group try to take into consideration all their claims while filling up future vacancies?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Subject to article 16 (4) of the Constitution.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—With a view to help these persons, I have already stated that the Government

have recently ordered that ten persons may be promoted on the basis of seniority and merit.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Thank you, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Sir, are all these promotions of 63 persons made in the year 1949 promotions against temporary vacancies or permanent vacancies?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I believe against permanent vacancies.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—So, there are no Supervisors who will come back?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Persons who have been already promoted will not come back.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—What are the principles laid down for making promotions and for direct recruitment?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I would once again invite the attention of the Hon'ble Member to the Government Order of 1944.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Does not that Government Order lay down that 50 per cent must be by direct recruitment and 50 per cent must be by promotion?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Yes.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—If so, while making these promotions, is it seniority that is taken into consideration strictly or is there going to be any further distinction among the communities? The Government Order does not lay down that principle.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—In the year 1949, as I have already answered, with a view to give adequate representation to the backward classes which were not adequately represented in the services, the proportion of 1:1 was followed.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that this new proportion of 1:1 for promotion is not contemplated in the Government Order of 1944?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—To that extent the Government Order of 1949 modifies the previous order.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—So after making these 63 promotions, can the Government state whether there are further vacancies to absorb these 35 probationers?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Yes.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—There are 38 persons left over. Is it not a fact that they are seniors to the 377 persons appointed subsequently and how are their cases going to be treated?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It cannot be avoided. In all the departments such things happen. For instance, in the Revenue Department, persons who are taken as first division clerks continue to be as first division clerks, even though Amildars are appointed over and above them. Even in the other departments where there is a policy of appointing probationers, it is bound to happen.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Why and on what grounds the claims of these 38 were ignored in preference to 377? Was it on communal grounds or on service grounds, or was there anything else?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—377 is the number of Surveyors appointed from 1942 to 1953.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—The surveyors left over from the first group are admittedly seniors to 377 appointed in the second group. Why was their seniority not considered? Was there anything against them? Is it on communal grounds or on efficiency grounds that their claims were overlooked?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The promotions were made prior to 1949 and even during 1949 on the basis of seniority and merit. Perhaps, at that time it was thought that they had not the requisite merit.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Can you give the names of these 38 persons?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The list is there.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—1944ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡ 50ರಷ್ಟು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳನ್ನು ನೇರವಾಗಿ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದಿರುವುದಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಅದನ್ನು strict ಆಗಿ ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಆ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಾದಮೇಲೆ 63 ಜನರನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ, ಆ ತಪ್ಪನ್ನು, ಅನ್ಯಾಯವನ್ನು ಏಕೆ ಸರಿಮಾಡಬಾರದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಸರಿಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. **ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.**—ಎಷ್ಟು ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸರಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—It is a matter of calculation. ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಎಷ್ಟಾಗಿದೆ, ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಎಷ್ಟಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಹಾಕಿದರೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದುದರಿಂದಲೇ ಪ್ರೊಬೇಷನರುಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಿದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅದು ಶೇಕಡ 50ರಷ್ಟಿದೆವು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು, ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಪ್ರಾಯ 2ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಶೇಕಡ 80ರಷ್ಟು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಕೋಮುಗಳಿಗೆರಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—Under-represented communitiesಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು. Inadequately represented and unrepresented communitiesಗೆ ತಕ್ಕಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಸರಿಯಾದ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ದೊರಕುವಂತೆ ಈ 50 per cent principle ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It is purely a question of interpreting the Government order.

Sri V. MASİYAPPA.—What principle would the Government adopt while filling up the 50 per cent vacancies on promotional basis?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is already said that it was so decided—on seniority and merit.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಶೇಕಡ 50ರಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಮತ್ತು ಮೆರಿಟ್ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಾದರೆ, ಉಳಿದ 50ರಷ್ಟು ನೇರವಾಗಿ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಭಾಗ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಕೋಮುಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಅಗತ್ಯವಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I did not follow the Hon'ble Member.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That principle is being followed. For instance, you take the number 35 directly recruited and see. That is what the Hon'ble Minister has said.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಶೇಕಡ 50ರಷ್ಟು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳನ್ನು, ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ವೇಕೆನ್ಸಿಗಳನ್ನು ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಕೆಲವು ಕೋಮುಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಿಕ್ಕುವುದಾದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವವರಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವವರಿಗೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸಿಕ್ಕುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—For unrepresented communities and inadequately represented communities—that is what you mean.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಮುಂದೆ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ಆಗಿ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—1949ರಲ್ಲಿ 63 ಜನರನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ, ಆಗ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಏಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೇ ಪ್ರೊಬೇಷನರುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—1945ರಿಂದ uniform policy ಅನುಸರಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ. Will the Government kindly inform the House the reasons why Government did not make provision for direct appointment ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—1949 ರಲ್ಲಿ 63 ಜನರನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು, 1953 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ಆಗಿ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷವೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮೆಂಟನಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡ 50 ರಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಏಕೆ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕ್ಯಾಂಡಿಡೇಟ್ಸ್ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಏಕಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಖಾಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡ 50 ರಷ್ಟು ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದು, ಉಳಿದವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ. 1949 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೆ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾಯಿತು. ಅದಕ್ಕೇ ಹೋಲಿದ ವರ್ಷ 35 ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರೊಬೇಷನರುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—1949 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ 63 ಜನರನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಭಾರತ ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗ ರಚನೆಯಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇದಾದ ಮೇಲೆ 63 ಜನರನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಟ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದ 16(4)ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಕೊಡಲು ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ರಿಕ್ಯೂಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಏಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪಂಗಡದವರನ್ನು ಗಣನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ 35 ಜನರನ್ನು ಪ್ರೊಬೇಷನರುಗಳಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—35 ಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕ್ಯಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಟ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The latest in this direction which is published in the Gazette a few months back may be referred to; 50 per cent promotion and 50 per cent direct recruitment.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪಂಗಡದವರಿಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ತಾನೆ ತಾವು ಕೇಳುವುದು ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರು ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಕೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

L.A.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನಿಮ್ಮ ವರ್ಗದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಜನರನ್ನು ಅಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದರವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—ನಿಮ್ಮ ವರ್ಗ ಮತ್ತು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪಂಗಡಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಪ್ರಜಾ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಗನಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಸರಿಯಾದ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನುನುಸರಿಸಿ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಸಭೆ ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಅದರಂತೆ ಏಕೆ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ನಿರ್ಣಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಕವಾಗಿರುವ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನೇ ನಾವು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟೇಜ್ ಸಹ ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಫ್ರೇಮ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವ ಪಂಗಡಗಳಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಪ್ರಜಾಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ನೀಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಏಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಅಥವಾ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಫ್ರೇಮ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—ಯಾವಾಗ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನುಮಾರು ಮೂರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ತಿಂಗಳಾಗಿರಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—ಆ ರೀತಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಮಾಡಿ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷವಾಗುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಕೂಡ ಏಕೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದಿಲ್ಲ ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—That is a different question, Sir. I will answer when there is any need to answer that question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any other question, Sri Madiah ?

Sri M. MADIHAH.—I want to know in connection with this alone, Sir, because it is very important.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Minister wants notice to tell you the exact date.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—ಅದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗೃತೆ ಅರೀತಿ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಭಾರತ ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗ ವಿಧಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—To repeat the answer once again, we have called for proposals from the Public Service Commission to implement the resolution.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ.—63 ಜನರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಅಂದರೆ ಅವರನ್ನು ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಸರ್ವೆಯರ್ಗಳನ್ನೇಕೆ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರುಗಳನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ.—ಈಗಲಾದರೂ ಅದನ್ನು ವೆರಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಒವರ್ ಲುಕ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I am afraid it is too late.

Repairs to Breached Tanks and Anicuts in Hassan District.

Q.—665. Sri H. K. SIDDIAH (Belur-Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of tanks and anicuts which have breached this year in Hassan District owing to excessive rains ;

(b) the amount spent for immediately putting up temporary bunds for each tank and anicut ;

(c) whether estimates for these tanks and anicuts have been later on prepared and sanctioned ; whether suitable action has been taken to implement the work connected with these ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) Thirty tanks and one anicut.

(b) Rs. 10,940.

(c) Action is being taken.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಉತ್ತರ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ, ಕೆಲಸ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಇದನ್ನು ಮುಂದುವರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಮಳೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಬಂದುದರಿಂದ ಸೇತುವೆಗಳು ಡ್ಯಾಮೇಜ್ ಆಗಿವೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕದಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಸೇತುವೆಗಳ ರಿಪೇರಿಗಾಗಿ ಏನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Sir, the question does not arise. It is a question relating to tanks and anicuts.

Cottage Industries of Harijans.

Q.—57. Sri T. HANUMIAH (Hiriyur-Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the total amount allotted Districtwar in the current year for

improving Cottage Industries of Harijans by way of supplying bullocks, Poultry farming, Sheep Breeding, Carpentry, Mat-weaving, Leather Industries, etc ;

(b) whether any amount has been taken either from the Industrial Fund or Depressed Class Fund ;

(c) the amount allotted for each item mentioned at (a) ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(a) No such funds have been allotted exclusively for Harijans.

(b) and (c) Does not arise in view of answer to Question (a) above.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ತಮ್ಮ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ “ಹರಿಜನರುಗಳಿಗಾಗಿಯೇ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಆ ವಿಧವಾದ ಫಂಡುಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಿಗೆ ದುಡ್ಡು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

*Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is, Government of India have recently granted some amount.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಹಣ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Fifteen thousand rupees.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮಾರಾಗಿ ಒದಗಿಸಿರುವ ಮೊಬಲಗೇಷ್ಟು ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—ಅದು ಇನ್ನೂ ಪ್ರೋಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿದೆ. It need not be taken that it is the only amount that will be spent. Government have allotted four lakhs in 1953-54 for the promotion of Cottage Industries. Wherever the Harijans are forthcoming and wherever Harijans take to Cottage Industries, as far as possible, preference will be shown to them.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Which Government has sanctioned four lakhs, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government of Mysore.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Out of which fund ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In the major head of Industries this fund has been provided.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Will the Government be pleased to verify if I say that this fund is out of the Amelioration of the Depressed Class Fund ?